arXiv:astro-ph/9502091v1 22 Feb 1995

ROTATION CURVES OF 967 SPIRAL GALAXIES

Massimo Persic[+] **and Paolo Salucci**

SISSA, Strada Costiera 11, I-34014 Trieste, Italy
[+] and Osservatorio Astronomico, Trieste, Italy

email: INTERNET: PERSIC@tsmi19.sissa.it; SALUCCI@tsmi19.sissa.it
DECNET: 38028::PERSIC; 38028::SALUCCI

Ap.J. Supplement, in press

Abstract. We present the rotation curves of 967 southern spiral galaxies, obtained by deprojecting and folding the raw Hα data originally published by Mathewson, Ford & Buchhorn (1992). For 900 objects, we also present, in figures and tables, the rotation curves smoothed on scales corresponding to 5% - 20% of the optical size: of these, 80 meet objective excellence criteria and are suitable for individual detailed mass modelling, while 820, individually less compelling mainly because of the moderate statistics and/or limited extension, are suitable for statistical studies. The remaining 67 curves suffer from severe asymmetries, small statistics, and large internal scatter that may largely limit their use in galaxy structure studies.

The deprojected folded curves, the smoothed curves, and various related quantities are available via anonymous ftp at galileo.sissa.it in the directory /users/ftp/pub/psrot.

Subject headings: galaxies: kinematics and dynamics — galaxies: internal motions — galaxies: structure

1. Introduction.

Rotation curves (hereafter RCs) are the prime tracers of the mass distribution of spiral galaxies (see the review by Ashman 1992). As such they represent a main observable of the whole process of galaxy formation and are relevant for considerations of the nature of dark matter. A detailed knowledge of their morphology and their implications for structure is clearly essential for theories and numerical experiments of galaxy formation (e.g.: Cen & Ostriker 1993; Navarro & White 1994; Evrard et al. 1994) as well as for testing unconventional dynamics/gravitation (e.g., Mannheim 1993). The accuracy of such knowledge is, of course, crucially increased when large samples of good-quality curves become available.

The Hα RCs of nearly one thousand southern spirals published by Mathewson, Ford & Buchhorn (1992; hereafter MFB) represent by far the biggest database on galaxy rotation available to date (967 objects: 965 shown in their Fig.3, plus 2 additional ones [416-G20 and N1241] not shown there but similarly available on file and also contained in their Table 1). However, in the MFB paper (and related public files) the Hα data are presented only as measured velocities, which is adequate for MFB's purpose of deriving rotation amplitudes in order to determine galaxy distances and peculiar velocities via the Tully-Fisher relation. In the present paper we make available the actual RCs after folding, deprojecting and smoothing the raw MFB data. Because of its size, homogeneity, quality, and spanned range of luminosities and asymptotic velocities, the sample of RCs which we are presenting will serve as a main database for studies of galaxy structure.

We have divided the derived RCs into three subsets (see below for details; cf. cols. [6] and [12] of Table 1). Set A includes 80 RCs that are smooth, symmetric, have negligible rms internal error, extend out to at least the optical radius, and have high and homogeneus radial data coverage. Because of their objective characteristics, these curves can compare with the best optical one–slit RCs available in the literature (e.g., Rubin et al. 1985) and are ideally suited for detailed mass structure modelling. Set B includes 820 RCs of good quality which, however, have some limitations, such as undersampling, limited extension (i.e., R(farthest) < 3 disk lengthscales), moderate asymmetries, non-circular motions, or non-negligible rms internal error. Thus the RCs in this sample are adequate for the investigation of the DM issue, as soon as specific methods are employed. Set C includes 67 curves with severe global asymmetries, scanty sampling, very large rms internal scatter, and conspicuous non-circular motions. In our opinion these RCs are unsuitable for studying the mass distribution in spirals and may even be considered for rejection in the luminosity-velocity diagram.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we give details of the folding and smoothing procedure used for obtaining the RCs from the raw MFB data. In section 3 we arrange the 967 RCs into the three subsets according to their quality, we fold the raw curves, and, finally, for the best-quality set we obtain smooth RCs by binning and averaging the folded data. Section 4 contains a summary and the conclusions. The files containing the deprojected folded curves, the smoothed curves, and the global properties of each galaxy relevant to the RCs (heliocentric systemic velocity, separation between kinematic and photometric centers, inclination angle, optical radius) are available via anonymous ftp at galileo.sissa.it in the directory /users/ftp/pub/psrot.

2. Data Analysis.

For details regarding the observations and data acquisition and reduction, the reader is referred to MFB. Here we describe the basic steps which we have taken in order to obtain the final RCs. For each RC these include: 1) a selection of the individual velocity data; and 2) the identification of the kinematical center about which to fold such data. In addition, 3) we have compared the radius corresponding to the farthest measured velocity with the optical size in order to evaluate the extension of each RC relative to that of the visible matter.

The quality of each velocity measurement is indicated by the cross-correlation coefficient ρ (given in the public data files) between the observed Hα line profile and the template line profile (see MFB for details). We have found that a good correlation ($\rho > 0.35$) is essential in order to ensure a high reliability of the measure. In fact, using differences in velocity between adjacent points (separation $\leq 0.1\, R_{opt}$), as a measure of the intrinsic scatter σ of the RCs, we have found that for good cross-correlations (i.e., $\rho > 0.35$) the mean rms error velocity is small, $\sigma \simeq 9$ km s^{-1}, while for poor cross-correlations (i.e., $\rho \leq 0.35$) the error is quite significant, $\sigma \simeq 20$ km s^{-1}.

The photometric center representing the maximum emission in the I-band does not necessarily coincide with the dynamical center of the galaxy. Moreover, MFB's procedure for estimating the systemic (heliocentric) velocity, though adequate for their purpose, may be too simplified for the raw data to be folded successfully. In order to obtain the actual coordinate of the kinematical center we have proceeded as follows: we have assumed that, once folded, each curve must be perfectly symmetric around its kinematical center of coordinates (V_{sys}^{hel}, R_0), so that the curve defined by the approaching arm, $\left|V_a(|R-R_0|) - V_{sys}^{hel}\right|$, coincides within its internal scatter with the one traced by the receding arm, $\left|V_r(|R-R_0|) - V_{sys}^{hel}\right|$. In practice, we have started from MFB's coordinates $(V_{sys}^{hel\,(MFB)}, 0)$, which in many cases are excellent solutions; then, if warranted, we have estimated the actual center by folding the data around slightly different zero-points until global symmetry of the velocity arms is reached (i.e., maximized). In most cases this process has quickly converged to solutions not very far away from the starting ones ($\left|R_0\right| \leq 2$"; $\left|V_{sys}^{hel} - V_{sys}^{hel\,(MFB)}\right| \leq 20$ km s^{-1}). The resulting RCs are generally very symmetric. However, about 100 RCs show evidence of modest asymmetries, and about 25 RCs show severe global asymmetries. In Table 1 we list, for each galaxy (ordered by alphanumeric order; cols. [1] and [7]), the heliocentric systemic velocity (cols. [3] and [9]) and the offset of the kinematic center from the photometric center (cols. [2] and [8]), measured in arcsec along the major axis, along with the inclination angle (cols. [5] and [11]).

It is important to have a reference scale for the optical size of each galaxy, in order to assess the extension of each RC relative to that of the visible matter. This is an essential step in order to evaluate the ability of RCs to trace the distribution of matter out to where the DM becomes an important component. For this purpose we have computed the radius, R_{opt}, encompassing 83% of the integrated light. (For an exponential disk this corresponds to 3.2 lengthscales, which in turn corresponds, for a Freeman disk, to the de Vaucouleurs 25 B-mag/arcsec^2 photometric radius.) Specifically, from the I–band CCD surface photometry of MFB, and correcting for inclination (see Appendix), we have estimated R_{opt} for each object (see cols. [4] and [10] of Table 1).

3. The Rotation Curves.

We have identified 900 RCs which are symmetric, with reasonably low rms internal scatter and reasonable high sampling density. These curves are shown in Fig.1. (Filled/empty symbols represent approaching/receding sides; arrows indicate optical radii.) This set of 900 RCs can be split into a subset of 80 *excellent* curves (Set A), and one of 820 *fair* curves (Set B).

The 80 RCs in Set A have the following properties, which ensure that they trace well the gravitational potential in the region of interest and hence are well suited for accurate and complete mass modelling: 1) the approaching and receding arms are very symmetric; 2) the data are extended out to (at least) R_{opt}; and 3) there are ≥ 30 data points homogeneously distributed with radius and (roughly) equally distributed between the two arms. For each galaxy, from the folded RC we produce a smooth RC as follows. We smooth the folded velocities by binning the $\leq N$ nearest data points contained within a fixed maximum bin size W. The values used for W are mostly $0.050\,R_{opt}$ and $0.075\,R_{opt}$, and for N are mostly 4 and 6. These values are chosen for each RC according to its sampling density: however, the profiles of the RCs do not change with other (reasonable) choices as can be seen by comparing the smoothed RCs with the original folded ones. In each bin we compute the average rotation velocity and its uncertainty. The resulting smoothed curves are shown in Fig.2. Due both to the global smoothness of the RCs and to the small uncertainty in each bin, the velocity fields are very well traced by the binned data: as can be seen from Fig.2, a reasonable linearity in the velocity profile extends for many curves through all radii, and for virtually all curves between $0.4\,R_{opt}$ and R_{opt} (in this latter region only about 10% of the data have been rejected owing to our adopted requirement that $\rho > 0.35$ [see section 2]).

The 820 RCs in Set B fail, to various extents, at least one of the criteria stated above and therefore may be not suitable for accurate and direct mass modelling. However, they constitute a large database for those methods able to recover the DM properties by needing less stringent requirement on the RC quality. With this in mind, for each galaxy we estimate, from its folded RC, the velocities at galactocentric radii corresponding to $\frac{n}{5}R_{opt}$ with $n = 1, ..., 9$. Specifically, at each of these radii we compute the mean velocity by averaging the data points over a bin of size $0.2\,R_{opt}$ and centered on the reference radius. Then, to check stability, at the same radius we define a pair of adjacent symmetric bins, each of size $0.15\,R_{opt}$; for each bin of the pair we compute the average velocity; we then interpolate between the pair of adjacent average velocities at the radius in question. Only when the two values for the mean velocity obtained in this way are found to be consistent with each other to within 10%, do we consider our velocity estimate reliable. In Table 2 we tabulate these estimated rotation velocities. (Parenthesized velocities in Table 2 come from smooth eyeball interpolation/extrapolation of the data points, for cases when we have deemed such procedure safe.) Notice that, due to the very selection of this subset, these velocities are often sparse and/or not extended out to R_{opt}. (For convenience, in Table 2 we also report the corresponding velocities for RCs belonging to Set A; and, for all galaxies, under the heading V_{asympt} we list the outermost estimated velocity [for radii $\geq 1.0\,R_{opt}$] as a representative measure of the galaxy's asymptotic rotation velocity).

Finally, the 67 RCs of Set C have severe global asymmetries, large rms internal scatter, insufficient sampling and/or large-scale deviations from circular motion. These curves may be useful for studying non-axisymmetric disturbances in spiral galaxies (e.g., bars, spiral arms). They are shown in Fig.3.

4. Conclusions.

We have presented the RCs of 967 spiral galaxies. By size, homogeneity, and intrinsic quality of the individual curves, this sample constitutes by far the best sample of RCs available to date. As such, it will offer a unique opportunity for investigating in considerable depth the properties of dark matter in galaxies, e.g. its radial distribution, total amount, scaling laws of structural parameters. In a forthcoming paper (Persic, Salucci & Stel 1995) we will investigate the systematics of the 900 curves of Sets A and B and of their underlying mass structure, and will attempt to clarify the implications of the dark/visible mass interplay in spiral galaxies for current scenarios of galaxy formation.

Acknowledgements. We gratefully thank Don Mathewson for very helpful exchanges and for his continuous encouragement throughout this work. We also thank the referee, Vera Rubin, for a number of constructive comments on the original manuscript.

References

Ashman, K.M. 1992, PASP, 104, 1109

Cen, R., & Ostriker, J.P. 1993, ApJ, 417, 415

Evrard, A.E., Summers, F.J., & Davis, M. 1994, ApJ, 422, 11

Mannheim, P.D. 1993, ApJ, 419, 150

Mathewson, D.S., Ford, V.L., & Buchhorn, M. 1992, ApJS, 81, 413 (MFB)

Navarro, J.F., & White, S.D.M. 1994, MNRAS, 267, 401

Persic, M., & Salucci, P., & Stel, F. 1995, in preparation

Rubin, V.C., Burstein, D., Ford, Jr., W.K., & Thonnard, N. 1985, ApJ, 289, 81

Stel, F. 1994, Laurea Degree thesis, University of Trieste

APPENDIX

In this Appendix we describe the estimation of the $I-$band visible radius for the 967 galaxies of the present work. We define this radius as the radius encompassing 83% of the integral light, by analogy with the de Vaucouleurs radius in the $B-$band (which statistically corresponds to 3.2 exponential lengthscales and hence to the 83% contour level of the total light).

By interpolation, from the individual surface brightness profiles (see MFB) we have computed the radius, R_{83}, corresponding to 83% of the total $I-$light. To correct empirically for inclination effects, we have proceeded as follows. First, we have taken into account a small inclination bias on the deprojected velocities, present in the sample, of the form:

$$\log \left\langle V_{83}(\frac{a}{b}) \right\rangle_{\text{sample}}^{\text{face-on}} \;=\; \log \left\langle V_{83}(\frac{a}{b}) \right\rangle_{\text{sample}} \;-\; (0.16 \pm 0.03) \times \log \frac{a}{b} \qquad (A1)$$

where $V_{83} \equiv V(R_{83})$, and a/b is the the major-to-minor axis ratio (in the $I-$band, see column 4 in Table 1 of MFB) (for details see Stel 1994). Then, we have assumed that

$$\log R_{83}^{\text{true}} \;=\; \log R_{83}^{\text{obs}} \;-\; C \times \left(\log \frac{a}{b} \right)^2 , \qquad (A2)$$

where C is a constant. (Of course we require null correction when $a/b = 1$, i.e. for face-on galaxies.) The constant C has been determined by correlating the residuals of the TF-like relationship $\log R_{83}^{\text{obs}}$ versus $\log V_{83}$) with the quantity $(\log \frac{a}{b})^2$. The whole procedure has been iterated to convergence, yielding $C = 0.16$ (see Fig.[A1]). For cases with $a/b > 5$, we have adopted the correction corresponding to $a/b = 5$. In the main text R_{83}^{true} is referred to as the optical radius R_{opt}.

Figure Captions.

Figure 1. The 900 folded RCs of Sets A and B. Filled/empty symbols denote approaching/receding sides. The triangles represent velocity points which, though passing the S/N test, are discrepant and will not be used in subsequent analyses. Velocities have been deprojected and corrected for the $1/(1+z_{sys})$ relativistic redshift factor. For each galaxy, an arrow indicates the optical radius, computed from individual *I*-band CCD surface photometry (see Appendix for details).

Figure 2. The 80 smoothed RCs of Set A (see text for details). Vertical arrows indicate optical radii.

Figure 3. The folded RCs of Set C (same symbols as for Fig.1). Only 61 curves are plotted. The RCs of the six galaxies 221-G2, 297-G27, 463-G21, 497-G32, 499-G18, and 545-G10 are not plotted because according to our selection criterion on data quality those curves are void of significant data.

Figure A1. The correlation between the (binned) ratios of apparent-to-corrected R_{83} radii and the (log of the) apparent axial ratios (all radii are in the *I*-band). The horizontal long-dashed line represents the null correlation. The long/short-dashed line represents the slope of the inclination correction we have used.